

A structured approach to clear, maintainable, and thoughtful code.

Layer 1: Surface Integrity	Layer 2: Clarity & Intent
Can I read this? Does it run? Is it consistent?	What is this trying to do, and how clearly does it say it?
Code runs and passes tests	Function/module purpose is immediately apparent
Syntax is clean (linted and formatted)	Comments are useful and explain why
Naming is clear and descriptive	Logic is readable and flows cleanly
Structure and file placement are consistent	Cognitive load is low
Formatting aligned with project style	Will this make sense in 6 months?
Automation: Are these checks in CI/CD or pre-commit	
Layer 3: Abstraction &	Layer 4: System Impact
Boundaries	What happens when this is deployed?
How does this fit into the rest of the system?	Dependencies are minimal and stable
DRY is used judiciously	Code is resilient to change
Each function/module/class has one clear purpose	Structure makes tests easy to write and maintain
Levels of abstraction are consistent	Performance is reasonable and scalable
Scope is clear, modular, and extendable	Security - Start with OWASP 10
Avoid dumping-ground folders and files	Error handling is clear and user-friendly
Imports are clear and minimal	Documentation is updated
No circular dependencies	
Connascence is intentional	
Complexity is observed and questioned	
Layer 5: Communication & Context	Self-Check How I reviewed
Do I understand what changed & why it matters?	My comments focused on the code, not the coder
Commit/PR message explains intent, not implementation	I gave feedback with curiosity, not condescension
Commentary highlights business value, risks,	I highlighted opportunities for clarity or learning
<pre></pre>	I made the impact and ROI of changes more visible
understand its purpose	I would be happy receiving the kind of feedback I just gave
Uncertainty or open questions are clearly flagged	

https://keysandquills.com